

Proper asymptotic unitary equivalence in KK-theory and its application

A. A. A. Elmagid⁽²⁾-A. E. Zakaria. ⁽¹⁾

Department of Mathematics, Peace University, Sudan,
Faculty of Petroleum and Hydrology Engineering
Department of Mathematics, University of Holly Quran
Wad Medani, Sudan, College of Education

Abstract

In this paper we generalize the notation constitutive codimension of Brown, Douglas, Hyun Lee, and Fillmore using KK-theory and prove the result which origins that there is a unitary of the form ‘identity+compact’ which gives the unitary equivalence of m projections if the ‘constitutive codimension’ of m projections vanishes for certain C^* -algebras employing the proper asymptotic unitary equivalence of KK-theory found by M. Dadarlat and S.Eilers. We also apply our result to the projections in the Corona algebra of

$C(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ where X is $[0, 1], \mathbb{R}, +\mathbb{R}$, and $[0, 1] / \{0, 1\}$.

الخلاصة

في هذه الورقة عممنا ملاحظتنا في البعد المساعد بشكل جوهرى من برون، دوتلاس، هاى لى وفيلمور استخدمنا نظرية KK وبرهان نتيجة اصل وجود كل من التطابق والتراص الواحدى الذى يعطى التكافؤ الواحدى فى (م) من الإسقاطات إذا كانت جوهرية وذات بعد مساعد وتختفى (م) من الإسقاطات وذلك بغرض تأكيد ان جبريات C^* تستخدم لتعيين التكافؤ الواحدى التقاربى فى نظرية KK التى وجدت بـ (M) – دادارلت – S- إليارس. وأيضاً طبقنا نتائجنا على الإسقاطات فى جبرى كرونا من $A + \varepsilon(H)$ حيث s هى:

$$\frac{[0, 1]}{\{0, 1\}} \cdot [0, 1] \cdot c + c$$

1. Introduction

When m projections p^m and q^m in $A + \varepsilon(H)$, whose difference is compact, are given, an integer $[p^m : q^m]$ is defined as the Fredholm index of v^*w where v, w are isometries on H with $vv^* = p^m$ and $ww^* = q^m$. This number is called the essential codimension because it gives the codimension of p^m in q^m if $p^m \leq q^m$ [2, 1]. A modern interpretation of this essential codimension is provided using the Kasparov group $KK(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. Indeed, a $*$ -homomorphism from \mathbb{C} to $A + \varepsilon(H)$ is determined by the image of 1 which is a projection. Thus we can associate to the essential codimension a Cuntz pair. An important result of the essential codimension is the following:

$[p^m : q^m] = 0$ if and only if there is a unitary u of the form ‘identity + compact’ such that $up^mu^* = q^m$. Motivated by this result, Dadarlat and Eilers defined a new equivalence relation on KK -group [5]. When $\pi, \sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ are two representations, with E being a Hilbert B -module, we say π and σ are properly asymptotically unitarily equivalent and write $\pi \cong \sigma$ if there is a continuous path of unitaries

$$u: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K}(E) + \mathbb{C}1_E),$$

$$u = (u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}, \text{ such that}$$

$$(i) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma(a) - u_t \pi(a) u_t^*\| = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A,$$

$$(ii) \sigma(a) - u_t \pi(a) u_t^* \in \mathcal{K}(E) \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty), \text{ and } a \in A.$$

Note that the word ‘proper’ reflects the fact that implementing unitaries are of the form ‘identity + compact’. The main result of them is [5, Theorem 3.8] which asserts that if $\phi, \psi: A \rightarrow M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K(H))$ is a Cuntz pair of representations, then the class $[\phi, \psi]$ vanishes in $KK(A, A + \varepsilon)$ if and only if there is another representation $\gamma: A \rightarrow M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K(H))$ such that $\phi \oplus \gamma \cong \psi \oplus \gamma$. When $A + \varepsilon = \mathbb{C}$, which corresponds to K-homology, the result is improved as a nonstable version. In fact, if (ϕ, ψ) is a Cuntz pair of faithful, non degenerate representations from A to $A + \varepsilon(H)$ such that both images do not contain any nontrivial compact operator, then the cycle $[\phi, \psi] = 0$ in $KK(A, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if $\phi \cong \psi$ [5, Theorem 3.12]. This fits nicely with the above aspect of the essential codimension. An abstract version of this is proved by given a Cuntz pair of absorbing representations (see Theorem(2.11)). Thus the proper asymptotic unitary equivalence must be the right notion and tool for further developments of the nonstable K-theory. The intrinsic interest lies in when this non-stable version of proper asymptotic unitary equivalence happens as proven in K-homology case. We prove a similar result for K-theory. In fact, we prove that if (ϕ, ψ) is a Cuntz pair of faithful representations from $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ whose images are not in $A + \varepsilon \otimes K$, then $[\phi, \psi] = 0$ in $K(A + \varepsilon)$ if and only if $\phi \cong \psi$ provided that $A + \varepsilon$ is non-unital, separable, purely infinite simple C*-algebra such that $M(A + \varepsilon)$ has real rank zero (see Theorem(2.14)).

Besides the intrinsic interest, Theorem(2.14) was motivated by the projection lifting problem from the Corona algebra to the multiplier algebra of a C*-algebra of the form $C(X) \otimes A + \epsilon$. To lift a projection from a quotient algebra to a projection has been a fundamental question related to K-theory (see [6]). We prove that a projection in the Corona algebra is ‘locally’ liftable to a projection in the multiplier algebra but not ‘globally’ in general. In other words, it can be represented by finitely many projection valued functions so that their discontinuities are described in terms of Cuntz pairs. They give rise to K-theoretical obstructions. We prove that these discontinuities can be resolved if corresponding K-theoretical terms are vanishing. In this process, the crucial point of proper asymptotic unitary equivalence is exploited as a key step (see Theorem(2.17)).

2. Proper asymptotic unitary equivalence

Let E be a (right) Hilbert $A + \epsilon$ -module. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ the C*-algebra of adjointable, bounded operators from E to F . The ideal of ‘compact’ operators from E to F is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(E, F)$. When $E = F$, we write $\mathcal{L}(E)$ and $\mathcal{K}(E)$ instead of $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$ and $\mathcal{K}(E, E)$. Throughout the paper, A is a separable C*-algebra, and all Hilbert modules are assumed to be countably generated over a separable C*-algebra. We use the term representation for a *-homomorphism from A to $\mathcal{L}(E)$. We let $H_{A+\epsilon}$ be the standard Hilbert module over $A + \epsilon$ which is $H \otimes A + \epsilon$ where H is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. We denote by $M(A + \epsilon)$ the multiplier algebra of $A + \epsilon$.

It is well known that $\mathcal{L}(H_{A+\epsilon}) = M(A + \epsilon \otimes K)$ and $\mathcal{K}(H_{A+\epsilon}) = A + \epsilon \otimes K$ where K is the C*-algebra of the compact operators on H [9].

Definition(2.1): Let π, σ be two representations from A to E and F respectively. We say π and σ are approximately unitarily equivalent and write $\pi \sim \sigma$, if there exists a sequence of unitaries $u_n \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ such that for any $a \in A$

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma(a) - u_n \pi(a) u_n^*\| = 0$,
(ii) $\sigma(a) - u_n \pi(a) u_n^* \in \mathcal{K}(F)$ for all n .

Definition(2.2): A representation $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ is called absorbing if

$$\pi \oplus \sigma \sim \pi \text{ for any representation } \sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F).$$

We say that π and σ are asymptotically unitarily equivalent, and write $\pi \sim \sigma$ if there is a unitary valued norm continuous map $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ such that $t \rightarrow \sigma(a) - u_t \pi(a) u_t^*$ lies in $C_0([0, \infty)) \otimes \mathcal{K}(E)$ for any $a \in A$, or if

- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma(a) - u_t \pi(a) u_t^*\| = 0$,
(ii) $\sigma(a) - u_t \pi(a) u_t^* \in \mathcal{K}(F)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

If $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ is a representation, we define $\pi^{(\infty)} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E^{(\infty)})$ by $\pi^{(\infty)} = \pi \oplus \pi \oplus \dots$ where $E = E \oplus E \oplus \dots$.

Lemma(2.3): Let ψ be an absorbing representation, and ϕ be a representation of a separable C^* -algebra A on the standard Hilbert $A + \varepsilon$ -module $H_{A+\varepsilon}$. Then there exists a sequence of isometries $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{L}(H_{A+\varepsilon}^{(\infty)}, H_{A+\varepsilon})$ such that for each $a \in A$

$$\|v_n \phi^{(\infty)}(a) - \psi(a) v_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad v_j^* v_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Proof. Let $S_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, be a sequence of isometries of $\mathcal{L}(H_{A+\varepsilon})$ such that $S_i^* S_j = 0, i \neq j$, and $\sum_i S_i S_i^* = 1$ in the

strict topology. Let $\phi_\infty(a) = \sum_i S_i \phi(a) S_i^*$. Since ψ is absorbing, there is a unitary $U \in \mathcal{L}(H_{A+\varepsilon}, H_{A+\varepsilon})$ such that

$$(1) \quad U^* \psi(a) U - \phi_\infty(a) \in \mathcal{K}(H_{A+\varepsilon}), \quad a \in A.$$

Define $T : H_{A+\varepsilon}^{(\infty)} \rightarrow H_{A+\varepsilon}$ by $T = (S_1, S_2, \dots)$. Then $\phi_\infty(a) = T \phi^{(\infty)}(a) T^*$.

Thus Eq. (1) is rewritten as

$$(2) \quad T^* U^* \psi(a) U T - \phi^{(\infty)}(a) \in \mathcal{K}(H_{A+\varepsilon}^{(\infty)}), \quad a \in A.$$

If we identify $\phi^{(\infty)}$ as $(\phi^{(\infty)})^{(\infty)}$, there is a partition $N_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, of \mathbb{N} so that we generate a sequence of

isometries $v_i \in \mathcal{L}(H_{A+\varepsilon}^{(\infty)}, H_{A+\varepsilon})$ from

$UT = (US_1, US_2, \dots)$. More concretely, if we let

$v_i : N_i \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be bijections, we can define $v_i = (US_{v_i^{-1}(1)}, US_{v_i^{-1}(2)}, \dots)$. It is easily checked that

$v_i v_j^* = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Eq. (8) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} v_i^* \psi(a) v_i - \phi^{(\infty)}(a) &\in \mathcal{K}(H_{A+\varepsilon}^{(\infty)}), \\ \|v_i^* \psi(a) v_i - \phi^{(\infty)}(a)\| &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the claim follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (v_n \phi^{(\infty)})(a) - \psi(a) v_n &= (v_n \phi^{(\infty)})(a) - \psi(a) v_n = \\ \phi^{(\infty)}(a^*) (\phi^{(\infty)}(a) - v_n^* \psi(a) v_n) &+ (\phi^{(\infty)}(a^*) - v_n^* \psi(a) v_n) \phi^{(\infty)}(a) \\ - (v_n^* \psi(a) v_n) \phi^{(\infty)}(a) &- (v_n^* \psi(a) v_n) \phi^{(\infty)}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma(2.4): Let $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ and $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F)$ be

$$v_i \sigma^{(\infty)}(a) - \pi(a) v_i \in \mathcal{K}(F^{(\infty)}), E,$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|v_i \sigma^{(\infty)}(a) - \pi(a) v_i\| \rightarrow 0$$

and $v_i^* v_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Then $\pi \oplus a \sim \pi$.

We say $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is admissible if ϕ is faithful, non-degenerate, and $\phi(A) \cap K = \{0\}$. The main result in [15] states that any pair of admissible representations ϕ and ψ

asym

satisfies that $\phi \sim \psi$. Dadarlat and Eilers proved a much stronger version which states that any pair of admissible representations ϕ and ψ satisfies $\phi \sim \psi$ [8]. Since the admissible representation is absorbing, the following result is the appropriate generalization of Voiculescu's result.

Theorem(2.5): If two representations ψ, ϕ of a separable C^* -algebra A on the standard Hilbert $A + \epsilon$ -module $H_{A+\epsilon}$ are absorbing, then we have $\phi \sim \psi$.

Proof. By Lemma(2.3) and Lemma(2.4), we have $\psi \oplus \phi \sim \psi$, and the proof is complete by symmetry.

Definition(2.6) Let ϕ be a representation from A to $M(A + \epsilon \otimes K)$. Then we define a C^* -algebra by

$$D_\phi(A, A + \epsilon) = \{x \in M(A + \epsilon \otimes K) \mid x\phi(a) - \phi(a)x \in A + \epsilon \otimes K, a \in A\}$$

Lemma(2.7) If $M(A + \epsilon \otimes K)$ has real rank zero, the $D_\phi(\mathbb{C}, A + \epsilon)$ has real rank zero for any representation $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M(A + \epsilon \otimes K)$.

Proof. The proof of the Lemma is essentially based on the argument due to Brown and Pedersen [2].

Note that any representation $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M(A + \epsilon \otimes K)$ is determined by $\phi(\mathbf{1})$, which is a projection in $M(A + \epsilon \otimes K)$.

Say $\phi(\mathbf{1}) = p^m$. Then we see that

$$D_\phi(\mathbb{C}, A + \epsilon) = \{x \in M(A + \epsilon \otimes K) \mid xp^m - p^m x \in A + \epsilon \otimes K\}$$

To prove $D_\phi(\mathbb{C}, A + \epsilon)$ has real rank zero, it is enough to prove any self-adjoint element in $D_\phi(\mathbb{C}, A + \epsilon)$ is approximated by a self-adjoint, invertible element. Let x be a self-adjoint element. Using the obvious matrix notation

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c^* & a + \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

$xp^m - p^m x \in A + \varepsilon \otimes K$ implies that c is ‘compact’, i.e., it is in $A + \varepsilon \otimes K$. Since $M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ has real rank zero, $p^m M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K) p^m$ and $(1 - p^m) M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K) (1 - p^m)$ have real rank zero. Given $\epsilon > 0$ we can find $(a + \varepsilon)_0$ invertible in $(1 - p^m) M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K) (1 - p^m)$ with $(a + \varepsilon)_0 = (a + \varepsilon)_0^*$ and $\|a + \varepsilon - (a + \varepsilon)_0\| < \epsilon$. Then considering $a - c(a + \varepsilon)_0^{-1} c^*$, we can find a_0 in $p^m M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K) p^m$ with $a_0 = a_0^*$ and $a - a_0 < \epsilon$, such that $a_0 - c(a + \varepsilon)_0^{-1} c^*$ is invertible in $p^m M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K) p^m$.

Then $\begin{pmatrix} p^m & c(a + \varepsilon)_0^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 - p^m \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} p^m & 0 \\ (a + \varepsilon)_0^{-1} c^* & 1 - p^m \end{pmatrix}$ are in $D_\Phi(\mathbb{C}, A + \varepsilon)$ since $c(a + \varepsilon)_0^{-1}$ is ‘compact’. Thus

$$x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & c \\ c^* & (a + \varepsilon)_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p^m & c(a + \varepsilon)_0^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 - p^m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 - c(a + \varepsilon)_0^{-1} c^* & 0 \\ 0 & (a + \varepsilon)_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^m & 0 \\ (a + \varepsilon)_0^{-1} c^* & 1 - p^m \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible in $D_\Phi(\mathbb{C}, A + \varepsilon)$. Evidently $\|x - x_0\| < \epsilon$, so we are done.

Let us recall the definition of Kasparov group $KK(A, A + \varepsilon)$. We refer the reader to [10, 1] for the general introduction of the subject. A KK-cycle is a triple (ϕ_0, ϕ_1, u) , where $\phi_i: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_i)$ are representations and $u \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E_1)$ satisfies

that

$$(i) u\phi_0(a) - \phi_1(a)u \in K(E_0, E_1),$$

$$(ii) \phi_0(a)(u^*u - 1) \in \mathcal{K}(E_0), \phi_1(a)(uu^* - 1) \in \mathcal{K}(E_1).$$

The set of all KK-cycles will be denoted by $E(A, A + \varepsilon)$. A cycle is degenerate if

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(a)u^*u - 1 = 0, & & u\phi_0(a) - \phi_1(a)u = 0, \\ & & \phi_1(a)uu^* - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

An operator homotopy through KK-cycles is a homotopy (ϕ_0, ϕ_1, u_t) , where the map $t \rightarrow u_t$ is norm continuous. The equivalence relation \sim is generated by operator homotopy and addition of degenerate cycles up to unitary equivalence. Then $KK(A, A + \epsilon)$ is defined as the quotient of $\mathbb{E}(A, A + \epsilon)$ by \sim .

When we consider non-trivially graded C^* -algebras, we define a triple (E, ϕ, F) , where $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ is a graded representation, and $F \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is of odd degree such that $F\phi(a) - \phi(a)F, (F^2 - 1)\phi(a)$, and $(F - F^*)\phi(a)$ are all in $\mathcal{K}(E)$ and call it a Kasparov $(A, A + \epsilon)$ -module. Other definitions like degenerate cycle and operator homotopy are defined in similar ways. Let v be a unitary in $M_n(D_\phi(A, A + \epsilon))$. Define $\phi^n: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{A+\epsilon}(A + \epsilon^n)$ by

$$\phi^n(a)((a + \epsilon)_1, (a + \epsilon)_2, \dots, (a + \epsilon)_n) = (\phi(a)((a + \epsilon)_1), \phi(a)((a + \epsilon)_2), \dots, \phi(a)((a + \epsilon)_n))$$

. Let $(A + \epsilon)^n \oplus (A + \epsilon)^n$ be graded by $(x, y) T \mapsto (x, -y)$.

Then

$$\left((A + \epsilon)^n \oplus (A + \epsilon)^n, \begin{pmatrix} \phi^n & 0 \\ 0 & \phi^n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

is a Kasparov $(A, A + \epsilon)$ -module. The class of this module depends only on the class of v in $K_1(D_\phi(A, A + \epsilon))$ so that the

construction gives rise to a group homomorphism

$$\Omega: K_1(D_\phi(A, A + \epsilon)) \rightarrow KK(A, A + \epsilon).$$

Lemma(2.8): Let ϕ be an absorbing representation from A to $\mathcal{L}(H_{A+\epsilon}) = M(A + \epsilon)$ where $A + \epsilon$ is a stable C^* -algebra. Then $\Omega: K_1(D_\phi(A, A + \epsilon)) \rightarrow KK(A, A + \epsilon)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. See[14, Theorem3.2]. In fact, Thomsen proved $K_1\left(\frac{D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon)}{(D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon))}\right)$ is isomorphic to $KK(A,A+\varepsilon)$ via a map Θ

where $D_{\square}(A,A,A+\varepsilon) = \{x \in D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon) \mid x \square(A) \subset A+\varepsilon\}$ is the ideal of $D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon)$. However, the same proof proves Ω is an isomorphism. Alternatively we can prove that $K_i(D_{\square}(A,A,A+\varepsilon)) = 0$ for $i = 0,1$ by the argument of [8, Lemma 1.6] with the fact that $K_*(M(A+\varepsilon)) = 0$. Thus, using the six term exact sequence, $K_*(D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon))$ is isomorphic to $K_*\frac{D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon)}{(D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon))}$. This implies the map Ω which is the composition with Θ and q^m_1 is an iso-morphism. Here q^m_1 is the induced map between K-groups from the quotient

$$\text{map from } D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon) \text{ onto } \frac{D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon)}{(D_{\square}(A,A+\varepsilon))}.$$

Definition(2.9): If $\pi, \sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ are representations, we say that π and σ are properly asymptotically unitarily equivalent and write $\pi \approx \sigma$ if there is a continuous path of unitaries $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K}(E) + \mathbb{C}I_E)$, $u = (u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ such that for all $a \in A$

$$(i) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma(a) - u_t \pi(a) u_t^*\| = 0,$$

$$(ii) \sigma(a) - u_t \pi(a) u_t^* \in \mathcal{K}(E) \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty), \text{ and } a \in A.$$

In the above, we introduced the Fredholm picture of KK-group. There is an alternative way to describe the element of KK-group. The Cuntz picture is described by a pair of representations $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_{A+\varepsilon}) = M(A+\varepsilon \otimes K)$ such that $\phi(a) - \psi(a) \in \mathcal{K}(H_{A+\varepsilon}) = A+\varepsilon \otimes K$. Such a pair is called a Cuntz pair. They form a set denoted by $E_h(A,A+\varepsilon)$.

A homotopy of Cuntz pairs consists of a Cuntz pair $(\phi, \psi) : A \rightarrow M(\mathbb{C}([0,1]) \otimes (A + \varepsilon \otimes K))$. The quotient of $\mathbb{E}_h(A, A + \varepsilon)$ by homotopy equivalence is a group $KK_h(A, A + \varepsilon)$ which is isomorphic to $KK(A, A + \varepsilon)$ via the mapping sending $[\phi, \psi]$ to $[\phi, \psi, 1]$ [4].

Dadarlat and Eilers proved that $[\phi, \psi] = 0$ in $KK_h(A, A + \varepsilon)$ if and only if there is a representation $\gamma : A \rightarrow M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K) = \mathcal{L}(H_{A+\varepsilon})$ such that $\phi \oplus \gamma \cong \psi \oplus \gamma$ [5, Proposition 3.6]. The point is that the equivalence is implemented by unitaries of the form compact + identity. Sometimes, we can have a non-stable equivalence keeping this useful point.

Definition(2.10): Let A be a C^* -algebra. Denote by \tilde{A} its unitization. We say that A has K_1 -injectivity if the map from $U(\tilde{A})/U_0(\tilde{A})$ to $K_1(A)$ is injective where $U(\tilde{A})$ is the unitary group and $U_0(\tilde{A})$ is the connected component of the identity. We note that H. Lin proved in [12, Lemma 2.2] that real rank zero implies K_1 -injectivity.

Theorem(2.11): Let A be a separable C^* -algebra and let $\psi, \phi : A \rightarrow H_{A+\varepsilon}$ be a Cuntz pair of absorbing representations. Suppose that the composition of ϕ with the natural quotient map $\pi : M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K) \rightarrow M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)/A + \varepsilon \otimes K$, which will be denoted by ϕ , is faithful. Further, we suppose that $D_\phi(A, A + \varepsilon)$ satisfies K_1 -injectivity. If $[\phi, \psi] = 0$ in $KK(A, A + \varepsilon)$, then $\phi \cong \psi$.

Proof. The proof of this Theorem is almost identical to the one given in [5, Theorem 3.12]. We just give the proof to illustrate how the assumptions play the roles.

By Theorem(2.5), we get a continuous family of unitaries $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ in $M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ such that

$$(3) \quad u_t \phi(a) u_t^* - \psi(a) \in C_0([0, \infty) \otimes (A + \varepsilon \otimes K)).$$

Note that (3) implies $[\phi, \psi] = [\phi, u_1 \phi u_1^*]$ see [257]. We assume that $[\phi, \psi] = 0$ and we conclude that $[\phi, u_1 \phi u_1^*] = 0$.

Since (ϕ, ϕ, u_1^*) is unitarily equivalent to $(\phi, u_1 \phi u_1^*, 1)$,

$$[(\phi, \phi, u_1)] = [(\phi, \phi, u_1^*)] = 0.$$

Since the isomorphism $\Omega : K_1(D_\phi(A, A + \varepsilon)) \rightarrow KK(A, A + \varepsilon)$

sends $[u_1]$ to $[\phi, \phi, u_1]$ by Lemma(2.8), K_1 -injectivity implies that u_1 is homotopic to 1 in $D_\phi(A, A + \varepsilon)$. Thus we may

assume that $u_0 = 1$ in (3).

Let E_ϕ be a C^* -algebra $\phi(A) + A + \varepsilon \otimes K$. We define

$(\alpha_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ in $\text{Aut}_0(E_\phi)$ by $\text{Ad}(u_t)$. Note that $\alpha_0 = \text{id}$ and (α_t)

is a uniform continuous family of automorphisms. Thus we apply Proposition(2.15) in [5] and get a continuous family

$(v_t)_{[0, \infty)}$ of unitaries in E_ϕ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_t(x) - \text{Adv}_t(x)\| = 0 \quad (4)$$

for any $x \in E_\phi$.

Combining (4) with (3), we obtain $(v_t)_{[0, \infty)}$ of unitaries in E_ϕ

such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v_t \phi(a) v_t^* - \psi(a)\| = 0$$

for any $a \in A$. Since ϕ is faithful, we can replace $(v_t)_{[0, \infty)}$ by

a family of unitaries in $A + \varepsilon \otimes K + \mathbb{C}1$ by the argument proven in Step 1 of the proof of Proposition(2.6) in [5].

Recall the definition of the essential codimension of Brown, Douglas, and Fillmore defined by two projections p^m, q^m in

$A + \varepsilon(H)$ whose difference is compact as we have defined in

Introduction. Using KK -theory, or K -theory, we generalize this notion as follows, keeping the same notation.

Definition(2.12) Given m projections $p^m, q^m \in M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ such that $p^m - q^m \in A + \varepsilon \otimes K$, we consider representations ϕ, ψ from \mathbb{C} to $M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ such that $\phi(1) = p^m, \psi(1) = q^m$. Then (ϕ, ψ) is a Cuntz pair so that we define $[p^m : q^m]$ as the class $[\phi, \psi] \in KK(\mathbb{C}, A + \varepsilon) \simeq K(A + \varepsilon)$.

Lemma(2.13) Let B be a non-unital (σ -unital) purely infinite simple C^* -algebra. Let ϕ, ψ be two monomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ where X is a compact metrizable space. If ϕ, ψ are still injective, then they are approximately unitarily equivalent.

The following Theorem is a sort of generalization of BDF's result about the essential codimension.

Theorem(2.14): Let $A + \varepsilon$ be a non-unital (σ -unital) purely infinite simple C^* -algebra such that $M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ has real rank zero. Suppose m projections p^m and q^m in $M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K) = \mathcal{L}(H_{A+\varepsilon})$ such that $p^m - q^m \in A + \varepsilon \otimes K$ and neither of them are in $A + \varepsilon \otimes K$. If $[p^m, q^m] \in K_0(A + \varepsilon)$ vanishes, then there is a unitary u in $\text{id} + A + \varepsilon \otimes K$ such that $up^m u^* = q^m$.

Proof. Step 1: Let $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ be representations from p^m and q^m respectively. Evidently ϕ is injective. Moreover, it does not contain any "compacts" since p^m does not belong to $A + \varepsilon \otimes K$. Thus ϕ is faithful. Recall ψ_∞ is defined by $\psi_\infty(a) = \sum S_i \psi(a) S_i^*$ where $\{S_i\}$ is a sequence of isometries in $M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ such that $S_i S_j^* = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Suppose that $\psi_\infty(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $S_i^* \psi_\infty(\lambda) S_i = \psi(\lambda) = 0$ or $\lambda_q = 0$. Thus $\lambda = 0$. Similarly, ψ_∞ is injective. Then they are approximately unitarily

equivalent by applying Lemma(2.13) to $X = \{x_0\}$. Thus we have a unitary U in $\mathcal{L}(H_{A+\varepsilon})$ such that

$$(5) \quad U^* \phi(a) U = \psi_\infty(a)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that to get a sequence of isometries $\{v_t\} \in \mathcal{L}(H_{A+\varepsilon}^{(\infty)}, H_{A+\varepsilon})$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma(2.3), what we needed was Eq. (1). Following the same argument in the proof of Theorem(2.5), we get. $\phi \sim \psi$ In other words, we have a continuous family of unitaries

$(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ in $M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ such that $u_t \phi(a) u_t^* = \psi(a) \in C_0[0, \infty) \otimes (A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ for any a in A .

Since $D_\phi(\mathbb{C}, A + \varepsilon)$ has real rank zero, it satisfies K_1 -injectivity. Thus it follows that $\phi \cong \psi$ as in the proof of Theorem(2.11).

Step 2: For large enough t , we can take $u_t = u$ of the form ‘identity+ compact’ such that $\|u p^m u^* - q^m\| < 1$. For the moment we write $u p u^*$ as p^m .

Thus $\|p^m - q^m\| < 1$. Note that $p^m - q^m \in A + \varepsilon \otimes K$.

Then

$z = p^m q^m + (1 - p^m)(1 - q^m) \in 1 + A + \varepsilon \otimes K$ is invertible and $p^m z = z q^m$. If we consider the polar decomposition of z as $z = v|z|$. It is easy to check that $v \in 1 + A + \varepsilon \otimes K$ and $v p^m v^* = q^m$. Now $w = v u$ is also a unitary of the form ‘identity +compact’ such that $w p^m w^* = q^m$.

3. Application: Projection Lifting

In this section, we prove an application of proper asymptotic unitary equivalence of m projections. In this

application, with an additional real rank zero property, the unitary of the form ‘identity +compact’ plays a crucial role as we shall see.

Let $A + \varepsilon$ be a stable C^* -algebra such that the multiplier algebra $M(A + \varepsilon)$ has real rank zero. Let X be $[0, 1], [0, \infty), \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{T} = \frac{[0, 1]}{\{0, 1\}}$. When X is compact, let $I = C(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ which is the C^* -algebra of (norm continuous) functions from X to $A + \varepsilon$. When X is not compact, let $I = C_0(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ which is the C^* -algebra of continuous functions from X to $A + \varepsilon$ vanishing at infinity. Then $M(I)$ is given by $C_{A+\varepsilon}(X, M(A + \varepsilon)_s)$, which is the set of bounded functions from X to $A + \varepsilon(H)$, where $M(A + \varepsilon)$ is given the strict topology. Let $\mathcal{C}(I) = \frac{M(I)}{I}$ be the Corona algebra of I and also let $\pi: M(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(I)$ be the natural quotient map. Then an element f of the Corona algebra can be represented as follows: Consider a finite partition of X , or $X = \{0, 1\}$ when $X = \mathbb{T}$, which is given by partition points $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ all of which are in the interior of X and divide X into $n + 1$ (closed) subintervals X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n . We can take $f_i \in C_b(X_i, M(A + \varepsilon)_s)$ such that $f_i(x_i) - f_{i-1}(x_i) \in A + \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $f_0(x_n) - f_n(x_n) \in A + \varepsilon$ where $x_0 = 0 = 1$ if X is \mathbb{T} .

Lemma(3.1) The coset in $\mathcal{C}(I)$ represented by (f_0, \dots, f_n) consists of functions f in $M(I)$ such that $f - f_i \in C(X_i) \otimes B$ for every i and $f - f_i$ vanishes (in norm) at any infinite end point of X_i .

Proof. If X is compact, then we set $x_0 = 0, x_{n+1} = 1$. Otherwise, we set $x_0 = x_1 - 1$ when X contain $-\mathbb{R}$, and

$x_{n+1} = x_n + 1$ when X contains $+\mathbb{R}$. Then we define a function in $C(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ by

$$m_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} (f_i(x_i) - f_{i-1}(x_i)) & \text{if } x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i, \\ \frac{x - x_{i+1}}{x_i - x_{i+1}} (f_i(x_i) - f_{i-1}(x_i)) & \text{if } x_i \leq x \leq x_{i+1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$. In addition, we set $m_0 = m_{n+1} = 0$. Then we define a function \tilde{f} from f_i 's by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f_i(x) - m_i(x)/2 + m_{i+1}(x)/2$$

On each X_i . It follows that

$$f_i(x_i) - m_i(x_i)/2 + m_{i+1}(x_i)/2 = f_{i-1}(x_i) - m_{i-1}(x_i)/2 + m_i(x_i)/2$$

. Thus \tilde{f} is well defined. The conditions $f - f_i \in C(X_i) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ for each i imply that $f - \tilde{f}$ is norm continuous function from X to $A + \varepsilon$ since $f|_{X_i}(x_i) - \tilde{f}|_{X_i}(x_i) = f|_{X_{i-1}}(x_i) - \tilde{f}|_{X_{i-1}}(x_i)$.

Similarly (f_0, \dots, f_n) and (g_0, \dots, g_n) define the same element of $\mathcal{C}(I)$ if and only if $f_i - g_i \in C(X_i) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ if X is compact. (f_0, \dots, f_n) and (g_0, \dots, g_n) define the same element of $\mathcal{C}(I)$ if and only if $f_i - g_i \in C(X_i) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ for

$i = 0, \dots, n - 1, f_n - g_n \in C_0([x_n, \infty)) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ if X is $[0, \infty)$. (f_0, \dots, f_n) and (g_0, \dots, g_n) define the same element of $\mathcal{C}(I)$ if and only if $f_i - g_i \in C(X_i) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1, f_n - g_n \in C_0([x_n, \infty)) \otimes A + \varepsilon, f_0 - g_0 \in C_0((-\infty, x_1]) \otimes A + \varepsilon$

if $X = \mathbb{R}$.

The following Theorem says that any projection in the Corona algebra of $C(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ for some C^* -algebras $A + \varepsilon$

is described by a “locally trivial fiber bundle” with the fiber $H_{A+\varepsilon}$ in the sense of Dixmier and Duady [7].

Theorem(3.2) Let I be $C(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ or $C_0(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ where $A + \varepsilon$ is a stable C^* -algebra such that $M(A + \varepsilon)$ has real rank zero. Then a projection f in $M(I)/I$ can be represented by (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) as above where f_i is a projection valued function in $C(X_i) \otimes M(A + \varepsilon)_s$ for each i .

Proof. Let f be the element of $M(I)$ such that $\pi(f) = f$. Without loss of generality, we can assume f is self-adjoint and $0 \leq f \leq 1$.

(i) Suppose X does not contain any infinite point. Choose a point $t_0 \in X$. Then there is a self-adjoint element $T \in M(A + \varepsilon)$ such that $T - f(t_0) \in A + \varepsilon$ and the spectrum of T has a gap around $1/2$ by [2, Theorem3.14]. So we consider $f(t) + T - f(t_0)$ which is still self-adjoint whose image is f . Thus we may assume $f(t_0)$ is a self-adjoint element whose spectrum has a gap around $1/2$.

Since $r(f(t)) : t \rightarrow f(t) - f(t)^2$ is norm continuous where $r(x) = x - x^2$, if we pick a point z in $(0, \frac{1}{4})$ such that $z \notin \sigma(f(t_0) - f(t_0)^2)$, then $\sigma(f(s))$ omits $r^{-1}(J)$ for s sufficiently close to t where J is an interval containing z . In other words, there is $\delta > 0$ and $a + \varepsilon > a > 0$ such that if $|t_0 - s| < \delta$, then $\sigma(f(s)) \subset [0, a) \cup (a + \varepsilon, 1]$.

If we let $f_{t_0}(s) = \chi_{(a+\varepsilon, 1]}(f(s))$ for s in $(t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ where $\chi_{(a+\varepsilon, 1]}$ is the characteristic function on $(a + \varepsilon, 1]$, then it is a continuous projection valued function such that $f_{t_0} - f \in C(t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta) \otimes A + \varepsilon$.

By repeating the above procedure, since X is compact, we can find $n + 1$ points t_0, \dots, t_n , $n + 1$ functions f_{t_0}, \dots, f_{t_n} , and an open covering $\{O_i\}$ such that $t_i \in O_i, O_i \cap O_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$, and f_{t_i} is projection valued function on O_i . Now let $f_i = f_{t_i}$ as above. Take the point $x_i \in O_{i-1} \cap O_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$f_i(x_i) - f_{i-1}(x_i) = f_i(x_i) - f(x_i) + f(x_i) - f_{i-1}(x_i) \in A + \varepsilon$ and $f_0(x_0) - f_n(x_0) \in A + \varepsilon$ if applicable. Let $X_i = [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1, X_0 = [0, x_1]$, and $X_n = [x_n, 1]$. Since each f_i is also defined on $X_i, (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is what we want.

(ii) Let X be $[0, \infty)$. Since $f^2(t) - f(t) \rightarrow 0$ as t goes to ∞ , for given δ in

$(0, 1/2)$, there is $M > 0$ such that whenever $t \geq M$ then $\|f^2(t) - f(t)\| < \delta - \delta^2$. It follows that $\sigma(f(t)) \subset [0, \delta] \cup [1 - \delta, 1]$ for $t \geq M$. Then again $\chi_{(1-\delta, 1]}(f(t))$ is a continuous projection valued function for $t \geq M$ such that $f(t) - \chi_{(1-\delta, 1]}(f(t))$ vanishes in norm as t goes to ∞ . By applying the argument in (i) to $[0, M]$, we get a closed subintervals X_i for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ of $[0, M]$ and $f_i \in C_{A+\varepsilon}(X_i, A + \varepsilon(H))$. Now if we let $X_n = [M, \infty)$ and

$$f_n(t) = \chi_{(1-\delta, 1]}(f(t)), \text{ we are done.}$$

(iii) The case $X = \mathbb{R}$ is similar to (ii).

When a projection $f \in \mathcal{C}(I)$ is represented by (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) by Theorem(3.2), we note that $f_i(x)$ is a projection in $M(A + \varepsilon \otimes K)$ for each $x \in X_i$ and $f_i(x_i) - f_{i-1}(x_i) \in A + \varepsilon$. Applying definition(2.12) we have K -theoretical terms $k_i = [f_i(x_i) : f_{i-1}(x_i)] \in KK(\mathbb{C}, A + \varepsilon)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The following Theorem proves that if all

k_i 's are vanishing, then a projection f in $\mathcal{C}(I)$ lifts to a projection in $M(I)$.

Theorem(3.3) Let I be $\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ where $A + \varepsilon$ is a σ -unital, non-unital, purely infinite simple C^* -algebra such that $M(A + \varepsilon)$ has real rank zero or $k_1(A + \varepsilon) = 0$ (see [16]). Let a projection f in $M(I)/I$ be represented by (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) , where f_i is a projection valued function in $\mathcal{C}(X_i) \otimes M(A + \varepsilon)_s$ for each i , as in Theorem(3.2). If $k_i = [f_i(x_i) : f_{i-1}(x_i)] = 0$ for all i , then the projection f in $M(I)/I$ lifts.

Proof. Note that, by Zhang's dichotomy, $A + \varepsilon$ is stable [16, theorem 1.2]. By induction, assume that $f_j(x_j) = f_{j-1}(x_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, i - 1$.

Let $f_i(x_i) = p^m_{i-1} f_{i-1}(x_i) = p^m_{i-1}$. Since $[p^m_i : p^m_{i-1}] = 0$, we have a unitary u of the form 'identity + compact' such that $\|p^m_i - u^* p^m_{i-1} u\| < 1/2$ by Theorem(2.14). Since $A + \varepsilon$ has real rank zero, given $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ there is a unitary $v \in \mathbb{C}1 + B$ with finite spectrum such that $\|u - v\| < \varepsilon$ [11,12]. Then $\|p^m_i - v p^m_{i-1} v^*\| \leq \|p^m_i - u p^m_{i-1} u^*\| + \|u p^m_{i-1} u^* - v p^m_{i-1} v^*\| < 1$

Note that $p^m_i - v p^m_{i-1} v^* \in A + \varepsilon$. Thus we have $w p^m_i w^* = v p^m_{i-1} v^*$ for some unitary $w \in \text{id} + A + \varepsilon$. (Recall that Step 2 of the proof of Theorem(2.14). Let $g_i = w f_i w^*$, then $f_i - g_i \in \mathcal{C}(X_i) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ since w is of the form 'identity + compact'.

On the other hand, we can write v as e^{ih} where h is a self-adjoint element in $A + \varepsilon$ since v has the finite spectrum. A homotopy of unitaries $t \rightarrow e^{ith}$, which are of the form

“identity + compact”, connects 1 to v . Now we define $g_{i-1}(t)$ as

$$\exp\left(i \frac{t - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} h\right) f_{i-1}(t) \exp\left(i \frac{t - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} h\right)$$

for $t \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$. Then we see that $g_{i-1}(x_i) = g_i(x_i)$, $g_{i-1} - f_{i-1} \in C(X_{i-1}) \otimes K$, and $g_{i-1}(x_{i-1}) = f_{i-1}(x_{i-1})$. Moreover, if we let $g_{i+1} = w f_{i+1} w^*$, then $f_{i+1} - g_{i+1} \in C(X_{i+1}) \otimes A + \varepsilon$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [g_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) : g_i(x_{i+1})] &= [w f_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) w^* : w f_i(x_{i+1}) w^*] \\ &= f_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) : f_i(x_{i+1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) and $(f_0, f_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_i, g_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, \dots, f_n)$ define the same element f while the k_i 's are unchanged and i -th discontinuity is resolved. So we take the latter as (f_0, \dots, f_n) such that $f_j(x_j) = f_{j-1}(x_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, i$. We can repeat the same procedure until we have $f_i(x_i) = f_{i-1}(x_i)$ for all i . It follows that (f_0, \dots, f_n) is a projection in $M(C(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon)$ which lifts f .

Remark 3.4

We $I = C_0(X) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ where X is $[0, \infty)$ or \mathbb{R} the similar result holds replacing $C(X_i) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ with $C_0(-\mathbb{R}, x_1] \otimes A + \varepsilon$ or $C_0[x_n, +\mathbb{R}) \otimes A + \varepsilon$ for $i = 0$ or $i = n$ respectively.

Reference

- [1] Hyun Ho Lee, Proper asymptotic unitary equivalence in KK-theory and projection lifting from the Corona algebra, J. Funct. Anal 260(2011), 135-145.
- [2] K. R. Davidson, C*-algebras by example, fields Int. Monogr, vol 6, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [3] G. Kasparov, Hilbert C*-modules: Theorems of stinespring and Voiculescu, J. Operator Theorem 4 (1980), 133-150.
- [4] D.Voiculescu, A non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann Theorem, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures. Apl. 21(1)(1976), 97-113.
- [5] G. Kasparov, The operator K-functor and extensions of C*-algebras, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser.Math.44(3)(1981), 571-636.
- [6] K.Thomsen, on absorbing extensions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.129 (2001), 1409-1417,
- [7] N. Higson, C*-algebra extension theory and duality, J. Funct. Anal.129 (1995), 349-363.
- [8] J.Countz, Generalized homomorphism between C*-algebras and KK-theory, in: Dynamics and Processes, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1031, Springer, New York, 1983, pp.31-45.
- [9] H. Lin, Approximation by normal elements with finite spectra in C*-algebra of real rank zero, Pacific, J. Math.173 (1996), 397-411.
- [10] H. Lin, private communication.
- [11] Hyun Ho Lee., Deformation of a projection in the multiplier algebra and projection lifting from the Corona algebra of a non-simple C*-algebra, J.Funct.Anal.265 (2013), 926-940.
- [12]M. Dadarlat, G. Nagy, A. Nemethi, C. Pasnicu, Reduction of topological stable rank inductive limits of C*-algebras, Pacific J. Math.153(2)(1992), 267-276.

- [13] L. G. Brown, H. Lee, Homotopy classification of projections in the Corona algebra of a non-simple C^* -algebra, *Canad. J. Math.* 64 (4)(2012), 755-777.